

10

## Question 5: Stark effect. Derive a correction of energy and wavefunction.

The unperturbed Hamiltonian of the hydrogen atom is:

$$H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \quad (1)$$

The eigenstates of  $H_0$  are given by the hydrogen wavefunctions  $\psi_{n\ell m}$ , with energies:

$$E_n^{(0)} = -\frac{13.6 \text{ eV}}{n^2} \quad (2)$$

An external uniform electric field  $\vec{E} = E\hat{z}$  adds a perturbation:

$$H' = eEz = eEr \cos \theta \quad (3)$$

The first-order correction to the energy is:

$$E_n^{(1)} = \langle n\ell m | H' | n\ell m \rangle \quad (4)$$

For  $n = 1$ ,  $\ell = 0$ : Since  $\psi_{100}$  is spherically symmetric, the integrand involves  $\cos \theta$ , which is odd over the sphere:

$$E_1^{(1)} = eE \langle 100 | z | 100 \rangle = 0 \quad (5)$$

So, no linear Stark effect for the ground state.

The  $n = 2$  level has 4 degenerate states:  $\psi_{200}, \psi_{210}, \psi_{211}, \psi_{21-1}$ . Since the perturbation can mix these, we must diagonalize  $H'$  in this subspace.

The matrix elements of  $H' = eEz$  are computed between these 4 states. Only the matrix elements between  $\psi_{200}$  and  $\psi_{210}$  are non-zero:

$$\langle 200 | H' | 210 \rangle = \langle 210 | H' | 200 \rangle = -3ea_0E \quad (6)$$

where  $a_0$  is the Bohr radius.

So, in the basis  $\{\psi_{200}, \psi_{210}\}$ , the perturbation matrix is:

$$H' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3ea_0E \\ -3ea_0E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix give the first-order energy corrections:

$$E^{(1)} = \pm 3ea_0E$$

So the energy levels split linearly with  $E$ : this is the linear Stark effect.

For non-degenerate states, the first-order correction to the wavefunction is:

$$|n^{(1)}\rangle = \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\langle k | H' | n \rangle}{E_n^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}} |k\rangle \quad (7)$$

For  $\psi_{100}$ , the dominant contribution comes from  $\psi_{210}$ :

$$|1s\rangle^{(1)} \approx \frac{\langle 210 | eEz | 100 \rangle}{E_1^{(0)} - E_2^{(0)}} |210\rangle$$

## Question 7:

30

### Stationary Case

Equation (a) is the time-independent Schrödinger equation with eigenstates  $\varphi_n^0$  and energies  $E_n^0$ . The solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (b) in the absence of perturbation is:

$$\psi_n^0(t) = \varphi_n^0 e^{-iE_n^0 t/\hbar} \quad (8)$$

This shows that the time evolution of a stationary state is simply a phase factor multiplying the spatial part  $\varphi_n^0$ .

### Relation Between $\varphi_n^0$ and $\psi_n^0$

From the equation above, we see:

$$\psi_n^0(t) = \varphi_n^0 e^{-iE_n^0 t/\hbar}$$

So,  $\varphi_n^0$  is the time-independent spatial part, and  $\psi_n^0(t)$  is the full time-dependent wavefunction.

### Time-Dependent Perturbation

When a time-dependent perturbation  $\hat{H}'(t)$  is added, the total Hamiltonian becomes:

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}'(t)$$

and the full Schrödinger equation becomes:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi_n(t) = \left( \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}'(t) \right) \psi_n(t)$$

To solve this, we express the wavefunction as a linear combination of the unperturbed eigenstates:

$$\psi(t) = \sum_n c_n(t) \varphi_n^0 e^{-iE_n^0 t/\hbar} \quad (9)$$

Here,  $c_n(t)$  are time-dependent coefficients that encode transitions between states due to the perturbation  $\hat{H}'(t)$ .

## Question 13: What is the meaning of the theorem on the relationship between spin and statistics?

### The Statement of the Theorem

- Particles with integer spin ( $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) are called bosons and obey Bose-Einstein statistics.
- Particles with half-integer spin ( $s = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ ) are called fermions and obey Fermi-Dirac statistics.

### Implications

- Fermions satisfy the Pauli exclusion principle: no two identical fermions can occupy the same quantum state. This principle explains the structure of the periodic table and the stability of matter.
- Bosons, on the other hand, can occupy the same quantum state, which leads to phenomena such as Bose-Einstein condensation and the operation of lasers.

### Origin of the Theorem

The theorem is not derivable from non-relativistic quantum mechanics. It is a consequence of:

- **Lorentz invariance** (special relativity),
- **Locality** (no instantaneous action at a distance),
- **Positive energy** (the ground state energy is bounded from below).

In relativistic quantum field theory, the symmetry properties of the wavefunction under exchange of identical particles are directly related to their spin:

- Fermions: antisymmetric wavefunction under exchange.
- Bosons: symmetric wavefunction under exchange.

### Summary Table

Spin	Type	Statistics	Behavior
$0, 1, 2, \dots$	Bosons	Bose-Einstein	Can occupy same state
$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$	Fermions	Fermi-Dirac	Obeys Pauli exclusion